

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | 4 | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/781,788 | 02/12/2001 | Larry Wolf | 72890/10396 | 6968 |
| 7590 12/01/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| JAMES H. WYNN LORD, BISSELL & BROOK LLP 300 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, 8TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 | | | JANVIER, JEAN D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3622 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanment | 09/781,788 | WOLF ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Jean Janvier | 3622 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| his application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| . ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of b) (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | ·· | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). s received on (with a Certific | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | • | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of shandonment under 27 | Jean Janvier Examiner Art Unit: 3622 CER 1.181 should be promptly filed to | | | |
| endons to revive under 37 CER 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw use notating of abalicontillent under 37 | OF IT 1. TO 1, SHOULD BE PROPERTY MEDICAL | | | |

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Examiner had called the Attorney's Office regarding the current status of the Instant Application and spoke with a Representative who stated that the Application is currently being handled by an Associate or another Attorney at the Atlanta Branch of the Firm and promised to forward the Examiner's message or inquiry to the Attorney at the Atlanta Branch. However, the Attorney has not, thus far, contacted the Examiner. Since there has been no recent activity on this case for over six months after the last office action on the merits was issued, the Examiner has now decided to abandon the Instant Application for failure to timely respond to the last office action under 37 CFR 1.134 and 1.135.

JEAN D. JANVIER PRIMARY EXAMINED